

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,588	08/17/2001	James T. Panttaja	018167-003800US	5221	
6449	7590 08/26/2004		EXAMINER		
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			LASTRA,	LASTRA, DANIEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/932,588	PANTTAJA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		DANIEL LASTRA	3622			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a composition of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the property within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the property of the office later than three months after the management of the property of the office later than three months after the management of the property of the office later than three months after the management of the property of the office later than three months after the management of the property of the propert	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	9 April 2002.				
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	his action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the compact that or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reeau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmer	• •	 □	(270.440)			
2) 🔲 Notio 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/09/02</u> .	Paper No(s)	nmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 have been examined. Application 09/932,588 (Redemption System for Award Redemption) has a filing date 08/17/2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7-13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kelly et al (U.S. 6,015,344).

As per claim 1, Kelly teaches:

A method in a redemption system for determining which awards to redeem, the method comprising:

maintaining an award history database that includes award transaction information that describes awards earned by a consumer and, for each earned award, the type of award (see column 21, lines 60-63; figure 6b);

maintaining an encumbrance database that describes types of awards that cannot be redeemed at one or more suppliers (see column 5, lines 1-6; column 10, lines 64-67);

receiving a request to redeem an amount of the earned awards at a chosen supplier (see column 28, lines 39-64);

Application/Control Number: 09/932,588

Art Unit: 3622

determining allowed awards that can be redeemed with the chosen supplier (see column 28, lines 39-63);

determining encumbrance levels of the allowed awards based on the types of allowed awards and the data in the encumbrance database (see figures 6b and 8a); and determining which of the allowed awards to redeem based on the encumbrance levels (see figures 6b and 8a).

As per claim 2, Kelly teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein determining which of the allowed awards to redeem is further based on expiration dates of the allowed awards (see column 53, lines 15-19).

As per claim 3, Kelly teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein determining which of the allowed awards to redeem is further based on dates on which the allowed awards were earned (see column 11, lines 30-38).

As per claim 4, Kelly teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the type of award includes according to which promotion the award was earned (see column 11, lines 30-38).

As per claim 5, Kelly teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the type of award includes from which business the award was earned (see column 11, lines 30-38).

As per claim 7, Kelly teaches:

Application/Control Number: 09/932,588

Art Unit: 3622

The method of claim 1 wherein the type of award indicates a classification of the award (see figure 6b).

Claim 8 contains the same limitations as claims 2 and 3 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 9 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 10 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 11 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 12 contains the same limitations as claim 4 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 13 contains the same limitations as claim 5 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 15 contains the same limitations as claim 7 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 16 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 17 contains the same limitations as claims 2 and 3 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 18 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,588

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 19 contains the same limitations as claims 2 and 3 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 20 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 21 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly et al (U.S. 6,015,344).

As per claim 6, Kelly does not expressly teach:

The method of claim 1 wherein the type of award indicates black-out dates on which the award cannot be redeemed. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that if the Kelly's award system indicates expiration dates after which the prize would not be redeemed, the Kelly's award system would also indicate black-out dates where prizes would also not be redeemed. This feature would be a business decision that would not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,588 Page 6

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 14 contains the same limitations as claim 6 therefore the same rejection is

applied.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

Ikeda teaches an online shopping mall point service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 703-306-

5933. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ERIC W STAMBER can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Lastra

July 22, 2004